

## Witness Statement

EE – Lt. Erik Eagan

TT – Tom Tatum

HG – Heather Ganem

EE     Alright, the recorder is on. This will be taped interview in reference to Internal Affairs case number IA-13-024. Today's date is November 8, 2013 and the time is 15:10 hours. The location of the interview is the Internal Affairs office of the Sheriff's administration office in Deland, FL. Presently being interviewed is Trooper Heather Ganem. Also present is Sgt. Tom Tatum with the Volusia County Sheriff's office Internal Affairs unit. I am Lt. Erik Eagan also with the Internal Affairs unit. Trooper, is it Ganem? Are you aware that this interview is being recorded?

HG     Yes I am.

EE     Ok. As a Florida Law Enforcement Officer and Notary Public of the state of Florida. I am empowered take sworn statements. At this time I ask you raise your right hand to be sworn. Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the statement you're about to give will be the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

HG     Yes sir, I do.

EE     Alright well first off I want to thank you for meeting with us today. I know it's kind of short notice, but I do appreciate you coming in and talking to us. Could you spell your first and last name for the record please?

HG     Sure. My first name is Heather, H-E-A-T-H-E-R; last name is Ganem, G-A-N-E-M.

EE     Ok. And how long have you worked for the Florida Highway Patrol?

HG     I've been employed by the Florida Highway Patrol since March of 2012, so I believe that roughly over a year and half...

EE     Ok.

HG     ...eighteen months.

EE     What assignments have you held within the Florida Highway Patrol?

HG     I currently hold the position of Trooper which is uh kind of uh the feet on the ground units. We day to day with traffic enforcement, helping um the public and uh investigating traffic crashes.

EE     Ok. So those are your primary functions?

47 HG Yes sir.  
48  
49 EE Alright. How long have you been doing that, the whole time that you worked for  
50 the Highway Patrol?  
51  
52 HG No sir. I graduated from the academy um in September of 2012 and I entered to  
53 work on the road here in Volusia County starting in October 2012.  
54  
55 EE Ok. Do you recall your work hours November 5, 2013?  
56  
57 HG Yes I do.  
58  
59 EE And what were they?  
60  
61 HG Uh, 2 pm in the afternoon to approximately 10 pm that evening.  
62  
63 EE Ok and do you have any specialized training in traffic crash investigations?  
64  
65 HG Uh, I have received training in traffic crash investigations at a basic law  
66 enforcement recruit level um and minimal additional training, um, that's agency  
67 specific with the Highway Patrol.  
68  
69 EE Ok. Do you know approximately how many hours of specialized training you  
70 have in traffic crash investigations?  
71  
72 HG Uh, approximately forty or more.  
73  
74 EE Ok. Alright. And do you have any additional law enforcement experience?  
75  
76 HG I do not.  
77  
78 EE Alright. I think I mentioned this to you maybe on the phone or off record, but I  
79 am conducting this administrative investigation in regard to allegations against  
80 Deputy Andrew Oliver for not being truthful with his supervisor, for not being  
81 truthful with a law enforcement officer, to wit you and Sgt. Jacob Vaughn, for  
82 making a false police report to you and Sgt. Vaughn, and falsification of official  
83 documents that occurred on November 5, 2013. Do you recall a traffic crash that  
84 you responded to and investigated on November 5, 2013 on County road 4155,  
85 which is Providence Blvd, just south of Alley 500 in Deltona, FL which involved  
86 a Volusia County Sheriff's office patrol vehicle?  
87  
88 HG Yes I do.  
89  
90 EE Alright. Could you tell me about your investigation?  
91

92 HG Uh, Yes, uh I was dispatched and arrived on scene to the location that you just  
93 described and the vehicle, the uh crash was dispatched as a marked deputy's  
94 patrol unit with the deputy on duty involved with two other vehicles. So I arrived  
95 on scene at that location um and observed the deputy's vehicle and the other two  
96 vehicles are now parked into a parked lot adjacent to the road way where the  
97 crash occurred, um I conducted interviews with all the parties involved beginning  
98 with the deputy, who was the driver of the patrol vehicle involved and then  
99 interviewed the driver of the dark blue BMW that was involved, and lastly  
100 interviewed the driver of a blue Honda civic that was involved and uh collected  
101 information, examined all the vehicles for the damage and then um came to the  
102 conclusion that I had.  
103  
104 EE Ok. And what was your conclusion?  
105  
106 HG My conclusion was consistent with the accounts of the event told by the drivers of  
107 the two vehicles um not the deputy's account uh the two vehicles that were  
108 involved told me that they were all traveling in an outside lane of travel, in a row,  
109 with uh the dark car, dark blue BMW being in front, the deputy's vehicle behind  
110 that, and the blue car behind that um and the physical damage was consistent with  
111 the account that the deputy at the point in time where the front vehicle applied its  
112 breaks to slow, the deputy's vehicle veered or he abruptly swerved or performed  
113 an evasive, attempted to perform an evasive maneuver to the left, where the front  
114 of his vehicle contacted the rear of the dark blue BMW that then cleared the space  
115 between the trailing vehicle and he did not have appropriate time, space, and  
116 distance to react and he then contacted into the same rear corner with much more  
117 impact.  
118  
119 EE Ok. Now the dark blue BMW, was that vehicle turning into the Little Caesars  
120 pizza, is that why he was slowing?  
121  
122 HG MmmHmm. The driver of that vehicle did tell me that he was um preparing to  
123 turn right into a parking lot that, that was at the Little Caesars pizza?  
124  
125 EE Ok. And just so we're clear, what did Deputy Oliver tell you when you  
126 interviewed him?  
127  
128 HG Um, the deputy's account was that he was traveling at all times that he while he  
129 was on that roadway in the inside lane it would have been to the left position of  
130 the other two vehicle, um and that a collision occurred between the other two  
131 vehicles and at that time he was to their left. One of those vehicles, he couldn't  
132 specifically tell me which one, um, he felt more that the, the rear car swerved into  
133 his lane and that's, at that time contacted his vehicle leaving some transfer on his  
134 front right fender.  
135  
136 EE Ok. And again based on your analysis of the traffic crash, the parties involved  
137 testimony to you what was your analysis of Deputy Oliver's testimony to you?

138

139 HG Um, my analysis was that the testimony that he gave me, the um events that

140 occurred seemed inconclusive not only with the spoken testimony of the other

141 parties involved but also with the physical evidence. When I examined the

142 drivers sides of both of the other vehicles involved, I could not see any physical

143 contact area that seemed consistent with the transfer that was on Deputy Oliver's

144 vehicle, um which lead me to believe the other accounts that said that the deputy's

145 vehicle first contacted the front or dark BMW vehicle in the same area where the

146 second collision occurred into it, so the black BMW didn't have any lasting

147 imprint or transfer, you know, of a white scuff or anything because then it was

148 further damaged by the second vehicle.

149

150 EE Ok.

151

152 HG And I, I did um I did review, you know, several times and, and all stories stayed

153 consistent with what I was told but the, the deputy's story didn't seem to add up

154 with what I was observing.

155

156 EE Now did you question him about the inconsistencies with his explanation?

157

158 HG Yes I did.

159

160 EE And how did he respond?

161

162 HG I asked him, trying in a very non-accusation way if he was sure that he was in the

163 left lane the whole time and I even kind of, you know, hinted to him that perhaps,

164 you know, that we have a lot of distractions in our vehicles that perhaps, you

165 know, he was doing something else and um he uh maintained that he wasn't

166 distracted that he was traveling in the inside lane and um and I, and I asked also if

167 there, after speaking with my supervisor, Sgt. Vaughn, um I did follow up with

168 him again and asked is there an in car camera that may be comes on when you

169 active your lights. We have that type of technology which will revert thirty

170 seconds which may have shown some events and um he told me that they did not

171 have that technology.

172

173 EE Ok. I think you mentioned this off the record, but did you go so far as to draw a

174 make shift diagram of the crash scene to go over it with Deputy Oliver?

175

176 HG Yes sir, I did. I um just uh on a sketch piece of paper just very roughly drew a

177 small diagram that showed the vehicles in position of ah what seemed consistent

178 with the damage and the other two parties accounts. Um, I told him, you know,

179 this is what their saying, this is what I see and I said again your tell, and then I

180 drew a little, a car, all those three cars were in a row and then I starred the areas

181 where the damage and showed how it could have happened that way and then I

182 drew another little car in the left lane or a little box that represented a car and um I

183 said so you were here and then he, he, you know, did say yes that's, that's what it  
 184 was. He agreed that was how the collision occurred.  
 185

186 EE How did he agree? Just so that I'm clear, which version did he agree to, of your  
 187 diagram?  
 188

189 HG Uh, he disagreed with the first version which was the account that all three  
 190 vehicles were traveling in the outside lane and continued to maintain the account  
 191 that he had told me, which was that he was in the inside lane when the other two  
 192 vehicles collided and then came over into him.  
 193

194 EE Ok. Did he change any portion of his explanation to you?  
 195

196 HG I don't specifically recall that he changed any portion, although he did seem um,  
 197 he did seem kind of uh, you know, reserved to where he would, he kept saying it  
 198 all happened so fast, it just all, like he really wasn't, he was sure, but maybe not  
 199 completely sure...  
 200

201 EE Ok.  
 202

203 HG ...because of, because it happened so fast.  
 204

205 EE During your interaction with him in explaining the inconsistencies, did you ever  
 206 caution him about lying to you? Have any conversation about getting the facts  
 207 right or correct?  
 208

209 HG I, I didn't specifically, you know, want to accuse or ask him, but I did um, want to  
 210 clarify with him and I was, I think I probably said, so you're sure and uh yeah he  
 211 continued to maintain that, that he was sure.  
 212

213 EE Ok. Did anybody overhear his explanation to you as far as what he told you  
 214 happened?  
 215

216 HG Um, I believe at the points at where I interviewed him, I'm not sure that the  
 217 Sheriff's sergeant that was on scene, I believe I was interviewing him, he may  
 218 have been in earshot or near us but typical I, you know, interview just straight on  
 219 to that, you know, I, I was kind of watching his body language too and he did  
 220 seem, I mean, it was the first time we ever met so I'm not positive, but he might,  
 221 he had seemed a little bit standoffish when I was asking if he was sure.  
 222

223 EE Ok. Any other indicators?  
 224

225 HG Yeah, he, he did, he said it, at one point I told him I was gonna have to call my  
 226 supervisor to come and um review the crash to help me, if you know, there was  
 227 anything I was missing, that was the other thing, and uh Sgt. Vaughn was in route,  
 228 and so I explained this to Deputy Oliver and that point he, I think he remarked to

229 the, to the feeling of I'm not gonna get a ticket or you're not, you don't think I'm  
 230 at fault. He made a comment that kind of he felt that I wasn't believing, or I saw  
 231 the inconsistencies that he was telling.  
 232

233 EE Did you have any response to his question?  
 234

235 HG No...  
 236

237 EE Ok.  
 238

239 HG ...ca, I, you know, it was what I saw so that's what I had to do.  
 240

241 EE Ok. Before I move forward with this, I wanted to refer you to the diagram that...  
 242

243 HG MmmHmm.  
 244

245 EE ...Sgt. Vaughn drew in his traffic crash long form, is this diagram, is that  
 246 consistent with the sketch that you showed Deputy Oliver? The sketch that you  
 247 drew.  
 248

249 HG Yes it is. It's all three vehicles, yeah vehicle two um which would have been our,  
 250 our dark car, the BMW, all three cars are in the outside lane, um, vehicle two the  
 251 dark BMW is further up. I did draw, you know, roughly the same diagram that  
 252 the three cars were in a row with the BMW being in front, deputy's car following,  
 253 blue Honda in the back, um and then vehicle one, which is the deputy's vehicle,  
 254 takes evasive action, slightly contacts leaving transfer on his vehicle from the  
 255 BMW clearing um a space, but not giving uh proper reaction time or distance and  
 256 vehicle three then collides which was the blue Honda into the same rear left of the  
 257 BMW so yes this is consistent with what my rough sketch was and uh and I  
 258 showed the deputy that at the scene.  
 259

260 EE So, you and Sgt. Baughn, excuse me, Sgt. Vaughn came to the same conclusion,  
 261 based on your traffic crash investigation?  
 262

263 HG Yes we did.  
 264

265 EE Ok. You mentioned that you did call Sgt. Vaughn to the scene, is that a normal  
 266 practice or protocol for the Highway Patrol to contact their supervisor when a law  
 267 enforcement officer's vehicle's involved in a traffic crash and likely at fault?  
 268

269 HG Yes it is.  
 270

271 EE Ok.  
 272

273 HG There, we d, um there was a little bit more um definitive conclusion with the  
 274 physical evidence when Sgt. Vaughn arrived on scene. We did uh measure the

275 distances of the scuff damage to the deputy's car and what seemed to be on the  
 276 other vehicles and it measured exactly with the account of the other two drivers.  
 277 That pretty much made it positive that that happened. The scuffing also kind of, it  
 278 wrapped and as Sgt. Vaughn has many more road hours and higher experience in  
 279 crash investigation, he explained to me that that would also be most consistent  
 280 with a vehicle making an evasive left action to try to ah go around a vehicle in  
 281 front of it.  
 282  
 283 EE Ok. Any idea approximately how many traffic crashes you investigate?  
 284  
 285 HG Since I've been on the road or per week? It's...  
 286  
 287 EE Either...  
 288  
 289 HG Yeah...  
 290  
 291 EE ...or both.  
 292  
 293 HG Ok. Um, I would say since I, in a little more than a years time possibly several  
 294 hundred. We, we sometimes work three or four a day, on a busy day, on a, on a  
 295 slower day one to two that's...  
 296  
 297 EE Ok. Are you familiar with Sgt. Vaughn's work history with the Highway Patrol?  
 298  
 299 HG I don't work directly with Sgt. Vaughn...  
 300  
 301 EE Ok.  
 302  
 303 HG ...he's um in a unit that we do contact with and if there's not a supervisor, my  
 304 immediate supervisor available, I have worked with him. As to uh his specifics, I  
 305 have worked with him on um some other incidents like this one. Where we both  
 306 investigated a crash together, but um as to uh his full experience, I'm not aware.  
 307  
 308 EE Ok. I was just going to try to approximate how many crashes...  
 309  
 310 HG Oh.  
 311  
 312 EE ...he would have had investigated in his I think twelve, thirteen years.  
 313  
 314 HG Many, many more probably that I would say...  
 315  
 316 EE Like close to a thousand?  
 317  
 318 HG Yeah. He, he works lots of crashes.  
 319



320 EE Ok. Based on your year and a half years of law enforcement training and  
321 experience do you believe Deputy Oliver was untruthful with you during your  
322 traffic crash investigation?  
323  
324 HG Yes I do.  
325  
326 EE Would you characterize his false testimony as making a false police report of  
327 traffic crash?  
328  
329 HG Yes I would have to say I would. I, I see, I normally, when I talk to people.  
330 People will try to say things maybe a little different than what the account is and  
331 that's what our job as investigator is to try to determine what is true, um I can't  
332 say whether it was completely intentional on his part but I believe the account that  
333 he's giving was, was falsely reporting.  
334  
335 EE Ok. Given the differences between his testimony, the other parties testimony and  
336 the physical evidence, they were pretty significantly different and you gave him  
337 ample opportunity, you showed him the evidence. You went so far as to draw  
338 him a diagram, in light of all that, would you say that his testimony was  
339 intentional?  
340  
341 HG Yeah, I guess based on that I would have to say that...  
342  
343 EE Ok.  
344  
345 HG ...because I mean he could have said maybe just at some point he wasn't sure, but  
346 he didn't say that.  
347  
348 EE Why do you think Deputy Oliver lied to you?  
349  
350 HG I have no idea.  
351  
352 EE Ok.  
353  
354 HG That's my first time ever meeting Deputy Oliver so.  
355  
356 EE Ok. Did he have anything to gain by lying to you?  
357  
358 HG No. I mean, to avoid a ticket. I mean our job, the amount of hours we drive.  
359 Sooner or later something like this is bound to happen, I mean, you just, I would  
360 just say what happened.  
361  
362 EE But he did have some motivation to lie, to avoid getting at traffic citation?  
363  
364 HG I guess that would be some motivation to some, yes, possibly.  
365



366 EE To avoid being found at fault for a traffic crash involving property damage. Do  
 367 you recall approximately how much property damage there was?  
 368  
 369 HG If I was completing...  
 370  
 371 EE We can refer to the report...  
 372  
 373 HG ...yeah...  
 374  
 375 EE ...that's ok.  
 376  
 377 HG ...if I could refer to what, ah, what the sergeant said.  
 378  
 379 EE If you don't recall that's fine.  
 380  
 381 HG I could give you a rough estimation, but I'm not a certified uh body, so my  
 382 estimation is just that rough and perhaps this estimate would be better. What did  
 383 he put in here? Yep. Yeah, I would, I would ah, I would concur that Sgt. Vaughn  
 384 estimation, I believe it says a \$5,350.00 looks like dollars would be around  
 385 something that I would conclude.  
 386  
 387 EE Ok. Other than the three drivers of the three vehicles, did you interview anyone  
 388 else?  
 389  
 390 HG No, I did not.  
 391  
 392 EE Ok. Do you normally not interview the passengers of the vehicles?  
 393  
 394 HG I normally do not.  
 395  
 396 EE Ok. Now why is that?  
 397  
 398 HG Typically um I, I do not because the passengers are not an impartial witness to a  
 399 crash, uh, generally if you interview them or at the times that I do, their story will  
 400 just likely corroborate the driver of the vehicle that they're in um and a lot of  
 401 times a passenger, their account can't really be heavily weighted. They might  
 402 have not been as aware as the driver should have been of the surrounding  
 403 situation.  
 404  
 405 EE Ok. Now is that something that they teach in the academy or in the specialized  
 406 training, the traffic crash? Sgt. Vaughn had the same explanation so I...  
 407  
 408 HG Yeah, I don't recall if that was a specific part of our training but I do recall that uh  
 409 we are taught to locate the most independent witnesses that would not have a  
 410 vested interest in the outcome of the investigation.  
 411

412 EE Ok. Did you find any independent witnesses?  
413  
414 HG No sir. I did not.  
415  
416 EE Ok. What did the driver of the dark blue BMW, Juan Rodriguez, tell you about  
417 the crash?  
418  
419 HG Mr. Rodriguez told me that um he was slowing, breaking to find a driveway to  
420 enter into the Little Caesars pizza, to, so before he made a right turn he was  
421 slowed in the road and at that time, the, he saw the, I think he kept on callin' it the  
422 cop car. He saw the cop car comin' up behind him. He felt an initial impact, um  
423 and then the cop car swerved into the left lane and then the other vehicle  
424 contacted into the rear of his vehicle.  
425  
426 EE Alright. Now what did the driver of the blue Honda Civic, Christian Rivera, what  
427 did he tell you about that crash?  
428  
429 HG Uh, Mr. Rivera told me that he was following the deputy's patrol vehicle for some  
430 distance. I tried to, to specify how far he was in that lane of travel and he said  
431 probably at least from the last intersection, I think, is it Elkcam, it's down cl, I  
432 wasn't sure, but he was a pointin' to a light that was several city blocks. He said  
433 he was following behind that vehicle and um just following along and then all the  
434 sudden he said that he saw the police vehicle just move out of the way and he  
435 didn't react in time to avoid collision with the car that was then exposed.  
436  
437 EE Ok. Now you mentioned that traffic light, was it the light closest to you, to the  
438 North, which would be Fort Smith, or was it the one previous to that, which  
439 would be Elkcam?  
440  
441 HG It, it was the nearest. I'm not sure...  
442  
443 EE Ok.  
444  
445 HG ...of the street name.  
446  
447 EE Ok. Based on your investigation, who did you find at fault for the traffic crash?  
448  
449 HG Uh, based on my investigation, I would have found Deputy Oliver at fault. His uh  
450 abrupt, evasive maneuver, in my opinion, well he did contact and collide, which is  
451 careless in action, with the vehicle in front of him, that was slowed and uh in my  
452 opinion the uh the vehicle behind may have not had reaction time if this very  
453 abrupt but I know we have to always have safe following distance but at forty  
454 miles per hour when that distance of possibly less than a couple car lengths  
455 opened he contacted so I would put the fault at the deputy's vehicle.  
456  
457 EE Ok. Do you know who Sgt. Vaughn found at fault for the traffic crash?

458  
459 HG Yes I do.  
460  
461 EE Who?  
462  
463 HG Uh, Sgt. Vaughn did find fault with the deputy for his careless action um of  
464 operating his vehicle and he also found fault in Mr. Rivera's action as careless in  
465 that he did not have enough reaction distance to avoid a collision either.  
466  
467 EE Ok. Were both parties that were found at fault, were they both cited for the traffic  
468 crash?  
469  
470 HG Yes they were.  
471  
472 EE Do you know what Sgt. Vaughn charged Deputy Oliver with?  
473  
474 HG I believe he charged him with careless driving.  
475  
476 EE Ok. And he also wrote inattentiveness resulting in an offset rear-end collision, is  
477 that normal charge?  
478  
479 HG Typically when we have a careless driving charge, we do try to explain what  
480 actions made the action careless, so to speak.  
481  
482 EE Ok. Do you know was Mr. Rivera cited for the same traffic violation as Deputy  
483 Oliver?  
484  
485 HG I believe he was careless, yes.  
486  
487 EE Ok. Was that because both collisions with the dark blue BMW were similar?  
488  
489 HG Yes they were.  
490  
491 EE Ok. Did you inform Deputy Oliver's supervisor Sgt. Whitener of your findings  
492 and Deputy Oliver's false explanation of the traffic crash?  
493  
494 HG Yes I did.  
495  
496 EE Ok. Were you given an opportunity to read Deputy Oliver's police report?  
497  
498 HG Yes I was.  
499  
500 EE Ok. Was Deputy Oliver's statement in his police report which is referenced by  
501 VCSO case #13-30771. Deputy Oliver wrote Deputy Oliver was traveling on the  
502 inside lane period. Is that a factual statement, based on your investigation?  
503

504 HG No it's not.  
505  
506 EE Ok. He also wrote the black, which your testimony and the testimony of Sgt.  
507 Vaughn is that the BMW was dark blue, but he wrote the black BMW was  
508 traveling in the outside lane and the blue Honda followed behind, is that factual  
509 also?  
510  
511 HG Not on the outcome of my investigation.  
512  
513 EE Ok. Was there blue or black transfer paint on Deputy Oliver's patrol car?  
514  
515 HG There was uh blue transfer paint and there also was some trailing of what seemed  
516 to have a rubbery consistency of black on the patrol vehicle as well, in the same  
517 vicinity, the scuff.  
518  
519 EE Ok. Is that consistent with the impact of the dark blue BMW, you referred to a  
520 rubbery consistency, how would you explain that?  
521  
522 HG The uh the dark blue BMW, uh, has blue paint that transferred off onto the  
523 deputy's front fender. It also has a protective uh rubber barrier that wraps around  
524 it's bumper which uh is very consistent with the rubber dark black transfer that  
525 was on the vehicle in the same area as the scuff.  
526  
527 EE Now would that, some people call that molding...  
528  
529 HG Yes.  
530  
531 EE ...like rubber molding...  
532  
533 HG Yes.  
534  
535 EE ...on the bumper. Ok.  
536  
537 HG Some people will.  
538  
539 EE Like a trim?  
540  
541 HG Right.  
542  
543 EE Ok. Based on your training and experience, what is your degree of certainty in  
544 your professional opinion of the investigation?  
545  
546 HG I feel very certain. I felt, I felt certain before I contacted my supervisor, that  
547 something was not right, enough to lead me to bring him, I don't know how far he  
548 came. He traveled a pretty good distance to come and uh to see the evidence and  
549 to, you know, he, he didn't base his conclusion basically just on what I told him.

550 He then conducted an investigation and came to the same conclusion that I was  
551 seeing, with a, a little bit more certainty by uh measurements and making certain  
552 with the physical evidence that the, what we suspected was the event.  
553  
554 EE Ok. And you were privy to that also...  
555  
556 HG MmmHmm.  
557  
558 EE ...the additional measurements and what have you. Did that increase your degree  
559 of certainty that...  
560  
561 HG Yes.  
562  
563 EE ...Deputy Oliver was at fault for the crash?  
564  
565 HG Yes it did.  
566  
567 EE And his testimony was not consistent with the evidence and your investigation?  
568  
569 HG Yes.  
570  
571 EE Could you put your degree of certainty in a number, like a percent, say 50%  
572 certain or 80 or?  
573  
574 HG I would, I would be close to 100% certain.  
575  
576 EE Ok.  
577  
578 TT I think she covered it all.  
579  
580 EE Ok. Is there anything additional that you think would be helpful to my  
581 investigation?  
582  
583 HG Not that I can think of.  
584  
585 EE Ok. Is there anything from your statement here today that you'd like to add or  
586 delete?  
587  
588 HG No there's not.  
589  
590 EE As this investigation is continuing you are requested not to discuss the details of  
591 this investigation except with legal council or your personal representative. The  
592 reason why we ask that so to maintain the integrity of the investigation so that  
593 people aren't talking about it and misinformation getting out there, so...  
594  
595 HG I understand.

596

597 EE ...any questions? Ok. Alright. Time is 15:45, this will conclude the interview.

I, Lt. Erik Eagan swear that the forgoing is  
an accurate transcription of the sworn  
recorded statement of Trooper Heather  
Ganem taken by me on November 8, 2013.

(Signed)

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 20  
Day of November, 2013.

[Signature]  
Signature of Notary Public State of Florida



(Print, type or Stamp Commissioned Name  
of Notary Public)

My Commission Expires:

My Commission Number is:

Personally Known ✓

Produced identification

Type of Identification Produced



